

Dynamical response of a one dimensional quantum wire electron system

S. Das Sarma and E. H. Hwang

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-4111

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We provide a self-contained theoretical analysis of the dynamical response of a one dimensional electron system, as confined in a semiconductor quantum wire, within the random phase approximation. We carry out a detailed comparison with the corresponding two and three dimensional situations, and discuss the peculiarities arising in the one dimensional linear response from the non-existence of low energy single-particle excitations and from the linear nature of the long wavelength plasmon mode. We provide a critical discussion of the analytic properties of the complex dielectric function in the complex frequency plane. We investigate the zeros of the complex dielectric function, and calculate the plasmon dispersion, damping, and plasmon spectral weight in one dimension. We consider finite temperature and impurity scattering effects on one dimensional plasmon dispersion and damping.

73.20.Mf, 71.45.Gm, 71.45.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

In an important recent experiment, Goñi *et al.* [1] measured the wave vector dispersion of the collective charge density excitation (“plasmons”) in a one dimensional electron gas confined in GaAs quantum wire structures by using the inelastic resonant light scattering spectroscopy. The measured one dimensional plasmon dispersion agrees remarkably well with the earlier calculated random-phase-approximation(RPA) predictions [2], which initially was considered surprising in view of the widespread belief that one dimensional electron systems are singular Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids where standard Fermi liquid type electron gas theories (of which RPA is a prototypical example) are inapplicable. The quantitative agreement between RPA predictions and experimental results was later explained by the fact [3] that the calculated RPA plasmon dispersion and the Tomonaga-Luttinger theory for the collective charge density excitations (Tomonaga-Luttinger bosons [4]) of the one dimensional electron system are equivalent by virtue of the vanishing of vertex corrections [5] in the irreducible polarizability of the one dimensional electron gas. Subsequent to the original experimental discovery of the one dimensional plasmon by Goñi *et al.* [1] several theoretical papers have appeared in the literature explaining various special features of the experimental data [6–9].

The purpose of this paper is to provide a complete, self-contained theoretical analysis of the dynamical response of a one dimensional (1D) electron system based mostly on RPA. It is surprising that in spite of considerable interest in the subject matter such a comprehensive analysis does not exist in the literature. By providing rather complete results for a well-defined simple model and by extensively comparing the one dimensional results to the well-studied two and three dimensional RPA results, we hope to dispel some misconceptions and erroneous claims made in the recent theoretical literature and to accentuate the peculiar aspects of one dimensional plasmons (as against the corresponding higher dimensional situations) arising from the severe phase-space restrictions in one dimension. We emphasize that RPA takes on particular significance in one dimension because its domain of validity

for plasmon dispersion is large (up to second-order in the wave vector in one dimension as against only first order, as implied trivially by the f-sum rule, in two and three dimensions).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we provide the basic RPA formalism and applicable formulae; in Sec. III we give the numerical results for plasmon dispersion and damping, and in Sec. IV we provide the dynamical structure factor (which is a direct measure of the light scattering spectral intensity); in Sec. V we go beyond RPA by including some local field corrections in the Hubbard approximation, and we conclude in Sec. VI providing a summary of our results.

II. THEORY

The elementary excitation spectrum of an electron gas is given by the dynamical structure factor $S(q, \omega)$ which is proportional to $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ where $\epsilon(q, \omega)$ is the dynamical dielectric function of the electron system. Within RPA, the dielectric function $\epsilon(q, \omega)$ is given by

$$\epsilon(q, \omega) = 1 - v_c(q)\Pi_0(q, \omega), \quad (1)$$

where $v_c(q)$ is the Coulomb interaction (in wave-vector space), and $\Pi_0(q, \omega)$ is the leading-order irreducible electron gas polarizability function (*i.e.* the so-called bare bubble or the Lindhard function in the relevant dimension, Fig. 1(a)). In an ideal 1D electron system the Coulomb interaction $v_c(q)$ in the wave vector space is logarithmically divergent. By using a more realistic finite-width 1D model [2,10], the Fourier transformed 1D Coulomb interaction is given by

$$v(q, y - y') = \frac{2e^2}{\epsilon_b} K_0(q|y - y'|) \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_b is the background lattice dielectric constant, and K_0 is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the second kind. In the finite-width 1D model we use a square well potential with infinite barriers at $y = -a/2$ to $y = a/2$. The 1D electron gas is along the x -axis and the wire width in the y (z) direction is taken to be a (zero). In typical quantum

wires, the wire thickness along the growth direction is much smaller than that in the lateral direction, justifying our 1D finite width model. The Coulomb matrix element in this square well potential becomes

$$v_c(q) = \frac{2e^2}{\epsilon_b} \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} dy \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} dy' K_0(q|y - y'|) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi y}{a}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi y'}{a}\right). \quad (3)$$

Its asymptotic forms [11] are

$$v_c(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{3\pi e^2}{\epsilon_b |qa|}, & \text{for } |qa| \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{2e^2}{\epsilon_b} [K_0(|qa|) + 1.9726917 \dots], & \text{for } |qa| \rightarrow 0, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where a is the cross-sectional confinement size of the quantum wire. The noninteracting polarizability can be exactly calculated in any dimension, and we show here the results for the one dimensional case only. (The corresponding two [12] and three [13] dimensional results can be found in the literature.) The 1D polarizability function $\Pi_0(q, \omega)$ is

$$\Pi_0(q, \omega) = (2s + 1) \int_C \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{f_{eq}(k + q) - f_{eq}(k)}{E(k + q) - E(k) - \omega}, \quad (5)$$

where $s = 1/2$ is the spin of the carrier, $E(k) = k^2/2m - \mu$ with chemical potential μ , and $f_{eq}(k)$ is the Fermi distribution function with k as the 1D wave vector. (Throughout this paper $\hbar = k_B = 1$.)

Plasmon dispersion and its lifetime or damping is determined by the zeros of the complex dielectric function such that

$$\epsilon(q, z_p) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where z_p is the complex frequency ($z_p = \omega_p - i\alpha$), where ω_p and α are taken to be positive functions of the 1D mode wave vector q . (This ensures stability of the system.) The condition $\text{Re}[z_p] = \omega_p(q)$ gives the plasmon dispersion and $\text{Im}[z_p] = \alpha(q)$ gives the damping rate of the plasmon mode. Since α is positive, the analytic continuation of the dielectric function into the lower frequency half plane is needed. Then the polarizability for the complex frequency ($z = \omega - i\alpha$) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_0(q, z) &= \frac{2m}{q} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{f_{eq}(k+q) - f_{eq}(k)}{k + \frac{q}{2} - \frac{m}{q}z} + i \left\{ f_{eq}\left(\frac{m}{q}z + \frac{q}{2}\right) - f_{eq}\left(\frac{m}{q}z - \frac{q}{2}\right) \right\} \theta(\alpha) \right] \\ &= \frac{m}{\pi q} \left[\ln\left(\frac{z^2 - \omega_-^2}{z^2 - \omega_+^2}\right) + 2\pi i \theta(z_+ - \omega) \theta(\omega - z_-) \theta(\alpha) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where

$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{k_F q}{m} \pm E(q), \quad (8)$$

and

$$z_{\pm} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{k_F q}{m}\right)^2 + \alpha^2} \pm E(q). \quad (9)$$

Without any damping (*i.e.* when $\alpha = 0$) we find the dispersion relation for an undamped quantum wire [2] plasmon at $T = 0$ to be

$$\omega_p(q) = \left[\frac{A(q)\omega_+^2(q) - \omega_-^2(q)}{A(q) - 1} \right]^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

where $A(q) = \exp[q\pi/mv_c(q)]$. In the long wave length limit ($q \rightarrow 0$) this mode becomes

$$\omega_p(q) = q \left[v_F^2 + \frac{2}{\pi} v_F v_c(q) \right]^{1/2} + O(q^3). \quad (11)$$

This RPA plasmon dispersion up to second order in q is the same as the dispersion of the collective charge density excitation in the exactly solvable Tomonaga-Luttinger 1D model [4].

Since $\omega_p(q)$ (Eq. (10)) is greater than $\omega_+(q)$, which is the upper boundary of the electron-hole pair continuum for all q , we expect this mode not to decay to electron-hole pairs within RPA. In three dimensions, however, $\omega_+(q)$ becomes larger than the plasmon energy at a critical wave vector, $q_c \approx \omega_p/v_F$. If $q > q_c$, $\epsilon(q, \omega)$ has a finite imaginary part and the plasmon mode enters the pair continuum regime, becoming damped consequently (Landau damping). The plasmon mode inside the Landau damping region decays by emitting single pair excitations which is now allowed by energy-momentum conservation. For small $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$, this damping rate can be calculated by taking $z = \omega(q) - i\alpha$ in Eq. (1) (with ω as a real frequency, and $\alpha/\omega \ll 1$) [13], to obtain

$$\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega_p(q))] = 1 - v_c(q)\text{Re}[\Pi_0(q, \omega_p(q))] = 0, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\alpha(q) = \omega_p(q)\text{Im}[\Pi_0(q, \omega_p(q))] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \text{Re}[\Pi_0(q, \omega)]|_{\omega_p(q)} \right]^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

Eq. (12) determines the dispersion relation $\omega_p(q)$ of the plasmon, and Eq. (13) gives an explicit formula for the plasmon damping rate. In 2D, the plasmon mode approaches the pair continuum and meets the damping region at some critical wave vector, q_c . Its difference from 3D is that the plasma mode simply ceases to exist at the upper boundary of the pair continuum at q_c . Since the plasmon does not exist inside the pair continuum, the analytic continuation of the dispersion relation, which is useful to explain the damping of the 3D mode, is invalid in 2D. Within RPA the 2D plasmon mode does not decay by single pair emission as long as it exists. It simply disappears at q_c . A similar phenomenon is even more striking in 1D. Since the plasmon mode always lies above the upper bound of the 1D pair continuum, the 1D plasmon is undamped within RPA in a pure system. Because of this fundamentally undamped character of 1D RPA plasmons, there are no solutions of Eq. (6) with a finite α in 1D. In some sense one can define a “true” collective mode by the *zeros* of the real part of the dielectric function, $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = 0$. Within RPA there are always two zeros of $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$ for *all* (1D, 2D, 3D) dimensions - one inside the pair continuum and the other outside the pair continuum. Thus, one may be misled into concluding that there are two collective modes corresponding to the two solutions of $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = 0$. The damping of the mode existing inside the pair continuum is, however, very large, making it an experimentally unobservable and theoretically uninteresting entity. (Within RPA, the strength of the mode outside the pair continuum is typically a thousand times greater than that of the inside mode.) There has been some recent confusion in the literature [6] regarding this point, and incorrect claims of there being two collective modes (corresponding to the two solutions of $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = 0$ as discussed above) in 1D systems have been made. We emphasize that the two zeros of $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$, one inside and one outside the electron-hole pair

continuum, exist in all dimensions, and only the zero lying outside the continuum is a true collective mode carrying any significant spectral weight.

The expression for the 1D polarizability $\Pi_0(q, \omega)$ given in Eq. (7) assumes that the system is pure and free from any defects or impurities. In general, impurity scattering causes the electrons to diffuse instead of moving ballistically. Impurity scattering effects are usually introduced by including impurity ladder diagrams in the polarizability consistently with self-energy corrections in the electron Green's function (Fig 1(b) and (c)). With the impurity self-energy correction the dressed Green's function becomes (within the Born approximation),

$$G(q, \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - E(q) + \frac{i}{2\tau} \text{sgn}(\omega)}, \quad (14)$$

where τ is the impurity induced elastic scattering time in the Boltzmann approximation. Then the expression for polarizability $\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega)$ including impurity scattering is given by

$$\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega) = \int \frac{dE}{2\pi i} \frac{\Pi(E, q, \omega)}{1 - v^2 \Pi(E, q, \omega)}, \quad (15)$$

where v is the impurity disorder potential which is assumed to be short-ranged (isotropic) with its strength given by

$$v^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi N_F \tau}, \quad (16)$$

where N_F is the density of states per spin at the Fermi level, which is given by $2m/(\pi k_F)$ in 1D. In this calculation we use a simple random Gaussian white-noise model for the impurity disorder potential

$$\overline{v(x)v(x')} = v^2 \delta(x - x'). \quad (17)$$

In Eq. (15), the leading order polarizability kernel in the presence of impurity scattering, $\Pi(E, q, \omega)$, is given by

$$\Pi(E, q, \omega) = \sum_k G(k, E) G(k + q, E + \omega). \quad (18)$$

In doing the k integral we approximate [14]

$$\sum_k [\] \simeq N_F \int d\epsilon_k [\]. \quad (19)$$

By using this approximation Eq. (18) becomes for $q \ll k_F$, $0 < \omega \ll E_F$, and in the weak-scattering limit ($E_F\tau \gg 1$)

$$\Pi(E, q, \omega) = 2\pi i N_F \frac{\theta(-E(E + \omega))}{\omega + i/\tau} \left[1 + \frac{Dq^2/\tau}{(\omega + i/\tau)^2} + O(q^4) \right], \quad (20)$$

where $D = v_F^2\tau$ is the one-dimensional diffusion constant, and the Heaviside θ function ensures that the two poles are in different half-planes. Finally, the polarizability $\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega)$ is

$$\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega) = N_F \frac{Dq^2/\tau}{(\omega + i/\tau) \left[\omega - \frac{i}{\tau} \frac{Dq^2/\tau}{(\omega + i/\tau)^2} \right]}. \quad (21)$$

This expression approximately describes the long wavelength and low frequency electronic density response for diffusive ($E_F\tau \gg 1$) electrons. For very large values of τ , Eq. (21) reduces to the correct expression for the polarizability, $\Pi_0(q, \omega)$, of a noninteracting 1D electron system,

$$\Pi_0(q, \omega) = N_F \frac{v_F^2 q^2}{\omega^2}, \quad (\text{for } q \ll \omega/v_F). \quad (22)$$

For finite τ , in particular, in the limit $q, \omega \rightarrow 0$, $\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega)$ shows the pole structure characteristic of the diffusive regime,

$$\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega) = -N_F \frac{Dq^2}{Dq^2 + i\omega}. \quad (23)$$

Note that Eq. (21) is only a long wavelength and low frequency approximation to the full polarizability, Eq. (15), which is, in general, unknown within this diagrammatic approach, and can only be determined by solving the extremely difficult integral equation defined by Eq. (15). Since the exact expression for $\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega)$ within this diagrammatic approach is complicated, we use in the numerical calculation a particle-conserving approximation to Eq. (15) for arbitrary values of q and ω , given by Mermin [15]. In this relaxation time conserving approximation [15], with $\gamma = 1/\tau$, the polarizability is given by

$$\Pi_\gamma(q, \omega) = \frac{(\omega + i\gamma)\Pi_0(q, \omega + i\gamma)}{\omega + i\gamma[\Pi_0(q, \omega + i\gamma)/\Pi_0(q, 0)]}. \quad (24)$$

This approximate formula gives the same diffusive long wavelength and low frequency behavior (*i.e.* Eq. (21)) as one gets from the diagrammatic approach. We have used this formula (*i.e.* Eq. (24)) for our full numerical calculation for all q and ω , taking the impurity scattering induced broadening γ as a constant phenomenological parameter. (Note that the plasmon broadening $\alpha(q)$ is, in general, determined by, but different from the impurity broadening γ .)

We next examine finite temperature effects on 1D dynamical response. Unlike 3D metals, where the Fermi energy ($E_F \sim 10^4 K$) is much larger than experimental temperatures, making the zero temperature formalism very accurate even at the room temperature, artificial semiconductor structures of reduced dimensionality have Fermi energies which are typically comparable to experimental temperatures (*e.g.*, $E_F = 4.4 meV \approx 50 K$), and therefore finite temperature effects should be included in the theory. This is because typically these systems have much lower effective electron density and effective mass, and much larger lattice dielectric constant than metals. Finite temperature effects are included in our theory by calculating $\Pi_0(q, \omega)$ at $T \neq 0$, using the trick introduced by Maldague [16] for a 2D electron gas. Its comparative 1D expression is given by [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(q, \omega; T, \mu) = & \int_{e^{-\mu/T}}^1 \frac{dx}{(x+1)^2} \Pi_0(q, \omega; T=0, \mu + T \ln(x)) \\ & + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(x+1)^2} \Pi_0(q, \omega; T=0, \mu - T \ln(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\mu \equiv \mu(n, T)$ is the finite temperature chemical potential to be obtained from the total number of particles $n = \int dE D(E) f(E)$, using the 1D electron density of states, $D(E)$, and the Fermi distribution function, $f(E)$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR PLASMON DISPERSION

In this section we present our numerical results for 1D plasmon dispersion and damping, comparing with the corresponding 2D and 3D results as appropriate. In Fig. 2 we show the plasmon dispersion and the Landau damping region as a function of the wave vector for

$r_s = 1.4$. Here $r_s = (\frac{3}{4\pi n})^{1/3} \frac{1}{a_0}$, $(\frac{1}{\pi n})^{1/2} \frac{1}{a_0}$, and $\frac{8}{\pi^2 n} \frac{1}{a_0}$ is the dimensionless electron gas density parameter [13,17] for 3D, 2D, and 1D, respectively, with n and a_0 being respectively the electron density and the effective Bohr radius. For a GaAs 1D system, $r_s = 1.4$ corresponds to a density of $n = 0.56 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\varepsilon_b = 12.7$, the effective mass of $m = 0.067m_e$, and a Fermi energy of $E_F = 4.4 \text{ meV}$. We choose the quantum confinement size $a = 100 \text{ \AA}$ in all our results. While we have chosen typical values of n and a for GaAs quantum wires in the extreme 1D limit, our qualitative results are completely independent of our choice of parameter values. In the long wavelength limit the plasmon dispersion has different behavior in different dimensions. In one and two dimensions the plasma frequency goes to zero as $q\sqrt{|\ln(q)|}$ (1D) and $\sqrt{q}$ (2D) as $q \rightarrow 0$, but in three dimensions the plasma frequency is finite in the long wavelength limit. The area bounded by the solid lines (the upper one, $\omega_+ = q^2 + 2q$ and the lower one, $\omega_- = q^2 - 2q$, with k_F as the wave vector unit) indicates the 1D electron-hole pair continuum region. Unlike 2D and 3D, where the pair continua have only upper boundary, ω_+ , the 1D pair continuum has a low energy gap between 0 and ω_- . This gap arises from severe phase space restrictions imposed [11] by 1D energy-momentum conservation, and leads to the non-existence of low energy single particle excitations in 1D Fermi systems. The dispersion of the 1D plasmon mode lies above the upper boundary ω_+ of the pair continuum for all q . This means that the plasmon mode does not decay into electron-hole pair excitations and is undamped in a pure 1D system within RPA. Fig. 3(a) shows (in the absence of impurity scattering, $\gamma = 0$) the zeros of the $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$ and $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$ for $q = 0.3k_F$ in the $(\omega - \alpha)$ complex frequency ($z = \omega - i\alpha$) plane using Eq. (7), which is an analytic continuation into the lower half energy plane. There are two branches (Fig. 3(a)) of zeros in $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$ for finite α up to $\alpha_{\text{max}} = 0.38$, whereas $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = 0$ along the $\alpha = 0$ line and for $0 < \omega < \omega_-$ and $\omega_+ < \omega < \infty$. The condition for the existence of a plasmon is $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = \text{Im}[\epsilon] = 0$, *i.e.* $\epsilon(q, \omega) = 0$, and therefore only the point P ($\alpha = 0$ and $\omega = \omega_p(q)$) in Fig. 3(a) corresponds to a true plasmon mode. This mode (the point P) is undamped with $\alpha = 0$. For finite α , even if $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$ changes sign (as it does along the

dotted lines) it does not pass through a zero because its change is discontinuous (that is, $|\text{Im}\epsilon| > 0$). Since the dynamical structure factor is proportional to $\text{Im}[\epsilon]^{-1}$ when $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = 0$, we expect very small spectral weight in the region where $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = 0$ and $\text{Im}[\epsilon] \neq 0$ (along the solid line in Fig. 3(a)). The structure factor is broad and incoherent, rather than a δ -function collective mode peak, along the solid line in Fig. 3(a) where $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = 0$, $\text{Im}[\epsilon] = 0$ (See section IV). By investigating the analytic continuation of the dielectric function into the lower half of the complex energy plane Bonitz et. al. [6] recently concluded that there is a collective mode in 1D with $\alpha \neq 0$. We believe, for reasons explained above, that this conclusion is erroneous and 1D dynamical response is qualitatively no different from 2D and 3D in this respect – this extra “mode” along the solid line in Fig. 3(a) with $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = 0$, $\text{Im}[\epsilon] \neq 0$ is overdamped and is not a collective mode in any sense. The collective plasmon mode corresponds to the zero of the total dielectric function (i.e. both $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = 0$ and $\text{Im}[\epsilon] = 0$) and has zero damping ($\alpha = 0$) within RPA in 1D, and there are no additional plasma modes for finite α in a single component 1D system. The experimentally observed additional mode of ref. [1] is successfully explained quantitatively by introducing two-subband occupancy into the model as has recently been done in the literature [8]. The additional mode arises from the interaction between two different 1D subband plasma modes - one is the in-phase mode and the other the out-of-phase mode; a strictly one subband model including analytic continuation can not explain the existence of the additional mode.

The inclusion of impurity scattering ($\gamma \neq 0$) causes collisional damping of the plasmon mode introducing level broadening in addition to any Landau damping arising from the decay of the plasmon to electron-hole pair excitations. This corresponds to complex zeros of the complex dielectric function with finite α . Fig. 3(b) shows $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = \text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] = 0$ lines in the complex frequency plane for $q = 0.3k_F$ and an impurity scattering induced broadening $\gamma = 0.1E_F$. Compared with Fig. 3(a) the point P has shifted to finite α in Fig. 3(b), and consequently the 1D plasmon has finite damping in the presence of impurity scattering. In Fig. 4 the plasmon dispersion ($\omega(q) = \omega_p(q) - i\alpha(q)$) calculated in the presence of finite impurity scattering (Eq. (24)) is shown for various impurity scattering rates, γ . In

Fig. 4 the curves with $\omega > 0$ give the plasma frequency or the real part ($\omega_p(q)$), and those with $\omega < 0$ give the plasma damping or the imaginary part (α) of the complex zero solutions ($\omega(q)$), *i.e.* $\epsilon(q, \omega(q)) = 0$. From the figure it is clear that the plasmon is overdamped below a critical wave vector $q < q_c$. The critical wave vector, q_c , below which the plasmon does not exist due to impurity scattering effects, depends on the density and the scattering rate

$$q_c = \frac{K\gamma}{|\ln(Ka\gamma)|} \quad (26)$$

where $K = \sqrt{m\epsilon_0/8ne^2}$.

It is known [18] that the 2D plasmon is also overdamped in the long wavelength limit in the presence of impurity scattering. The plasma dispersion of the 2D system for small q and ω in the presence of a finite collisional broadening γ is easily calculated on the basis of Eq. (24) (using the corresponding 2D noninteracting polarizability Π_0 [12]):

$$\omega = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\gamma^2 + 8\sqrt{2}r_s q}. \quad (27)$$

The critical wave vector, q_c , at which ω becomes completely imaginary in 2D is

$$q_c = \frac{\gamma^2}{8\sqrt{2}r_s}. \quad (28)$$

We show the 2D plasmon dispersion for different value of collisional broadening in Fig. 5(a). The 2D results in Fig. 5(a) are qualitatively similar to the 1D results in Fig. 4. As in 1D, the plasmon damping $\alpha(q)$ decreases with increasing γ in the overdamped region because of the suppression of the electron diffusion rate for larger γ . When the impurity scattering rate γ is small the 2D plasmon dispersion is hardly affected by impurity scattering. A quantitative comparison of Fig. 4 and 5(a) show that for a given range of collisional broadening γ , the plasmon dispersion is more strongly affected in 1D than in 2D by impurity scattering effects. In 3D, the plasma frequency has a long wavelength gap, with the long wavelength plasma frequency being finite in contrast to 1D and 2D systems. Impurity scattering, therefore, has no qualitative effect on the 3D plasma dispersion (Fig. 5(b)), only small quantitative corrections. For small γ , the 3D plasmon is not overdamped for small q , and, therefore,

there is no long wavelength critical wave vector, q_c , in 3D below which the 3D plasmon vanishes. (On the other hand, as discussed earlier, there is a Landau damping induced large wave vector cut off, q_c , for 3D plasmons, and the 3D plasmon ceases to be a well defined mode for $q > q_c$, with q_c being the wave vector at which the 3D plasmon dispersion enters the electron-hole single particle continuum – see Fig. 2, for example.)

Fig. 6 shows the finite temperature effect on the plasmon dispersion. Unlike impurity scattering effects the plasmon dispersion is only slightly affected by finite temperature effects. Also, in contrast to impurity scattering effect where increasing γ decreases the plasmon frequency, increasing temperature actually enhances the 1D plasmon dispersion. This upward shift in energy with increasing temperature is a well-known phenomenon in plasma physics [19], and happens in all dimensions. Thus, effects of finite collisional broadening and temperature are qualitatively different on plasma dispersion. In Fig. 6(b) we show our calculated 1D plasmon dispersion and damping in the presence of both non-zero temperature and impurity scattering.

IV. DYNAMICAL STRUCTURE FACTOR

The dielectric function $\epsilon(q, \omega)$ is related [13,17] to the dynamical structure factor $S(q, \omega)$ by

$$S(q, \omega) = -\frac{1}{nv_c(q)} \text{Im} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon(q, \omega)} \right], \quad (29)$$

where n is the 1D density and v_c is the Coulomb interaction, as in Eq. (1). $S(q, \omega)$ is, therefore, the spectral weight of the dielectric function. The dynamical structure factor gives a direct measure of the density fluctuation spectrum of the electron gas. Thus, many experiments such as inelastic electron and Raman scattering spectroscopies directly measure $S(q, \omega)$. Equivalently, $S(q, \omega)$ is a measure of the spectral strength of various elementary excitations of the electron gas. An undamped plasmon shows up as a δ -function peak in $S(q, \omega)$ indicating the existence of a simple zero of $\epsilon(q, \omega)$. The damped plasmon ($\alpha \neq 0$),

however, corresponds at best to a broadened peak in $S(q, \omega)$ – for larger broadening, as for $q < q_c$ in 1D and 2D with impurity scattering, the plasmon is overdamped and there is no peak in $S(q, \omega)$. Note that $S(q, \omega) \propto \text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$, and for our purpose we mostly show results for $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ because $v_c(q)$ in 1D depends on the details of the electron confinement model (cf. Eq. (3)).

In Fig. 7 the calculated 1D RPA $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ is plotted for different wave vectors as a function of the frequency for a clean system at $T = 0$. When both $\text{Re}[\epsilon]$ and $\text{Im}[\epsilon]$ become zero (i.e. $\epsilon(q, \omega) = 0$, which defines the plasmon mode), the imaginary part of the inverse dielectric function $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ is a δ -function with the strength

$$W(q) = \frac{\pi}{|\partial \text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)] / \partial \omega|_{\omega=\omega_p(q)}}, \quad (30)$$

where $\omega_p(q)$ is the plasmon dispersion for a clean electron gas. The sharp δ -function like peak in Fig. 7 corresponds to the well-defined 1D plasmon mode. This figure also shows the electron-hole single particle excitation (SPE) continua, which show up as weak broad (incoherent) structures in $S(q, \omega)$. The continua in Fig. 7 have been enhanced by a factor of 10 to make them observable on the scale of the plasmons. Note that there is only one observed peak, the plasmon mode, in Fig. 7 with any appreciable spectral weight. In contrast to 2D and 3D systems, this remains true in 1D systems up to rather high wave vectors ($\geq 2k_F$) because of the severe suppression of SPE. This suppression of SPE continua (up to rather high wave vectors) is a specific characteristic of the 1D electron system. (Note that there is only a single plasmon peak in Fig. 7 in contrast to the erroneous claims of ref. [6].) The *low* wave vector suppression of SPE is a generic feature of dielectric response because it follows quite generally from the f -sum rule [17] which is a direct consequence of particle conservation. At long wavelengths ($q \rightarrow 0$), the plasmon exhausts the f -sum rule, and therefore, carries all the spectral weight. In higher dimensions, SPE continua start gaining spectral weights as q increases and eventually ($q \geq k_F$) become the dominant elementary excitations. In 1D by contrast, the plasmon is the dominant excitation up to rather high wave vectors ($q \geq 2k_F$) and the SPE continua are strongly suppressed. For more

on $S(q, \omega)$ in 3D [17] and 2D [20] we refer to the existing literature [17,20].

Fig. 8 shows the calculated weight (Eq. (30)) of the plasmon mode in different dimensions as a function of q . In 1D (Fig. 8(a)) as $q \rightarrow 0$ $W(q)$ approaches zero because the plasma frequency vanishes. It has a maximum strength around $q = 2k_F$ for $r_s = 1.4$. For $q \rightarrow \infty$ $W(q)$ approaches zero slowly. Note that this absolute vanishing of $W(q)$ for $q \rightarrow 0$ is only a manifestation of the vanishing of the 1D plasma frequency at long wavelength – the plasmon carries all the spectral weight as $q \rightarrow 0$ because the plasmon frequency vanishes in the long wavelength limit. In 3D the long wavelength plasmon has a gap, and therefore the plasmon weight is finite in 3D even for $q = 0$. Using Eq. (30), the plasmon weight $W(q)$ can be analytically calculated as $q \rightarrow 0$, with the result: $W(q) = \pi\omega_p(q)/2$ in the relevant dimension. Note that the small weight of the plasmon mode at long wavelengths makes it particularly susceptible to collisional damping effects in 1D and 2D, as we have discussed before.

In Figs. 9 to 12 we show $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$, $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$, and $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ as a function of ω for $q = 0.2k_F$. Figs. 9 and 10 show the results for $T = 0$ (Fig. 9) and $T = 0.5E_F$ (Fig. 10) with various impurity scattering rates γ , and Figs. 11 and 12 show results for various temperatures without any impurity scattering (Fig. 11) and with an impurity scattering rate $\gamma = 0.1E_F$ (Fig. 12). Both impurity scattering and thermal excitation soften the singularity in the dynamical dielectric function at $\omega_+ = q^2 + 2q$ and $\omega_- = q^2 - 2q$ (with q measured in k_F). This causes broadening of the plasmon δ -function peak in the dynamical structure factor. As the impurity scattering rate γ increases the plasmon broadening increases also. Finite temperature effects on plasmon broadening are in general small in comparison with impurity scattering effects. Even a small impurity scattering ($\gamma \approx 0.1E_F$) is sufficient to cause observable plasmon broadening, but observable thermal broadening of plasmons in the clean ($\gamma = 0$) system requires a temperature of at least $T = 0.5E_F$.

V. HUBBARD APPROXIMATION

To improve upon RPA, which is what we have so far employed in this paper, and which in general is exact only in the long wavelength limit, Hubbard [17,21,22] introduced a simple local field correction $G(q)$ to the RPA by approximately summing the ladder exchange diagrams instead of using only the noninteracting polarizability Π_0 in the dynamical screening:

$$\epsilon_H(q, \omega) = 1 - \frac{v_c(q)\Pi_0(q, \omega)}{1 + v_c(q)G(q)\Pi_0(q, \omega)}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$G(q) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_c(\sqrt{q^2 + k_F^2})}{v_c(q)}, \quad (32)$$

where v_c is the Coulomb interaction in the relevant dimension. In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, $G(q) \rightarrow 0$, restoring RPA, which is exact in this limit. Thus in the long wavelength limit the local field correction vanishes and Eq. (31) becomes the RPA dielectric function of Eq. (1). The simple Hubbard local field corrections in 3D, 2D, and 1D can be written [17,21,22] on the basis of Eq. (32) as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2D}(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^2}{q^2 + k_F^2}, \\ G_{3D}(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{\sqrt{q^2 + k_F^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and in 1D

$$G_{1D}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_c(\sqrt{q^2 + k_F^2})}{v_c(q)}, \quad (34)$$

where $v_c(q)$ is now the 1D Coulomb interaction in the quantum wire as defined by Eq. (3). In Fig. 13 we show the collective plasmon dispersion as calculated by using the Hubbard approximation (dashed line) and compared with RPA results (solid line). Dotted lines in Fig. 13 indicate the boundaries of the electron-hole pair continuum. In the long wavelength limit the two approximations match exactly, but as q increases the Hubbard plasmon dispersion lies below the RPA plasmon in all dimensions. Thus the Hubbard local field correction suppresses the plasmon frequency at finite q in all dimensions. The Hubbard correction, in

fact, is larger in lower dimensions. Whether the Hubbard approximation is an improvement over RPA in determining the plasmon dispersion is unclear because the Hubbard local field correction is purely static whereas the exact local field correction is obviously dynamic, and plasmon dispersion is manifestly a dynamical property. We have provided here the plasmon Hubbard approximation results only for the sake of completeness. We believe that the Hubbard approximation is, in fact, worse than RPA in determining the plasmon dispersion, at least in 1D and 2D [22]. Within the Tomonaga-Luttinger model [4] (i.e. linear bare electron energy dispersion and short-range model interaction), RPA is known [3] to be exact because all vertex corrections to the irreducible polarizability vanish [5]. For the realistic Coulomb interaction and the quadratic electron energy dispersion, which is our 1D electron gas model in this paper, vertex corrections are not expected to vanish exactly, but should be small. Thus, Hubbard approximation, which is a crude estimate of ladder vertex corrections, should not be much of an improvement over RPA in 1D. Comparison with the experimental results of ref. [1] indicates that the experimental 1D plasmon dispersion lies above the RPA curve whereas we find (Fig. 13) that the Hubbard results lie below the RPA results, and therefore inclusion of local field corrections does not by any means improve agreement between theory and experiment. In fact, essentially exact quantitative agreement between the RPA theory and experiment [1] has recently been obtained [8] by incorporating a small occupancy of a higher 1D subband in the calculation.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have discussed in this article in considerable details the RPA dynamical response of a one dimensional electron gas as confined, for example, in an ultra-narrow semiconductor quantum wire structure in the one subband occupancy limit. Special features of our work are inclusion of finite impurity scattering and finite temperature effects in the theory and a fairly comprehensive discussion of analytic continuation properties of the complex dielectric function in the complex frequency plane (necessitated by some confusion on this

topic in the recent literature), as well as a detailed comparison between 1D plasmon properties with corresponding 2D and 3D results. While the motivation for our work has been the experimental observation [1] of 1D plasmons in GaAs quantum wires via the inelastic light scattering spectroscopy, we have not attempted in this paper any comparison between theory and experiment because such a comparison already exists in the literature [1,8]. It has been established [8] that a complete quantitative and qualitative understanding of all aspects of the experimental observations [1] requires a two-subband model with the second subband occupied slightly by electrons. In the current paper we consider the one-subband purely one-dimensional limit which, in fact, explains the basic features of the experimental observations [1] very well, as was already noted in ref. [1]. Our goal in this paper is to bring the understanding of 1D dynamical response to the same level as that already existing for 2D [12,20] and 3D [13,17] systems.

The two most significant features of 1D dynamical response are (1) the linear (with small logarithmic corrections depending on the details of the confinement potential) wave vector dispersion of the long wavelength collective charge density excitation plasmon mode, and (2) the total suppression of the electron-hole single particle excitation continua at low energy (which arises from the severe 1D phase restriction due to energy-momentum conservation). The 1D plasmon, in fact, exhausts the f -sum rule up to second order in q/k_F , and, therefore, the 1D RPA plasmon dispersion is exact not only in the long wavelength limit (as it is trivially in all dimensions) but up to large values of wave vector ($q/k_F \sim 1$). This has earlier been pointed out in the literature [3] in a somewhat different context. Our detailed RPA treatment of 1D dynamical response is thus of considerable quantitative validity because RPA turns out to be a more accurate approximation in 1D than in 2D and 3D systems.

Finally, we make some remarks on how the various characteristic peculiarities of 1D systems, which we neglect uncritically in our work, are expected to affect our RPA theory of dynamical response. The three well-known aspects of one dimensionality are Tomonaga-Luttinger behavior [4,5], Peierls transition and the associated charge density wave instability [23], and Anderson localization [24]. As mentioned earlier in this article and as has been

emphasized in ref. [3], a direct implication of the 1D Tomonaga-Luttinger behavior is that only the bubble diagrams survive [5] in the irreducible polarizability, making RPA essentially an exact approximation for the charge density excitation mode. Thus, what we refer to as plasmons throughout this article are the same [3] as the Tomonaga bosons or the charge collective excitation modes [4] of a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid. Much has been written on the Peierls transition and associated charge density wave instability in 1D systems. We just point out that for GaAs quantum wire based on 1D electron systems, Peierls transition is not a relevant issue as has been emphasized recently in the literature [25]. This is true even in the absence of impurity scattering – inclusion of impurity scattering only makes Peierls transition and charge density wave instability issue even more irrelevant [10] for one dimensional quantum wire electron systems. In 1D, any disorder, in principle, completely localizes [24] a noninteracting electron system, producing exponentially Anderson localized states whereas we have, of course, assumed delocalized plane wave 1D electron states in this paper. Again, this is not a particularly important issue for our work because in high mobility GaAs – based quantum wire systems the calculated localization lengths [26] are very long ($> 10 - 100\mu m$), and the system behaves for all practical purpose as a delocalized system. Thus the characteristic peculiarities of 1D systems, which we have ignored in our work, do not in any significant way affect our results or conclusions on the dynamical response of quantum wire based 1D electron systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S. ARO, the U.S. ONR, and the NSF-DMR-MRG.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. Goñi, A. Pinczuk, J. S. Weiner, J. M. Calleja, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** 3298 (1991); A. R. Goñi, *et al.*, in *Phonons in Semiconductor Nanostructures*, p. 287, edited by J. P. Leburton, J. Pascual, and C. S. Torres (Plenum, New York, 1993), p. 287.
- [2] Q. P. Li and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 5860 (1989); **41**, 10268 (1990); **43**, 11768 (1991). For earlier work on the dynamical response of *quasi*-one dimensional organic conductor materials (as opposed to one dimensional semiconductor quantum wire materials of interest to us) see, for example, P. F. Williams and A. N. Bloch, Phys. Rev. B **10**, 1097 (1974).
- [3] Q. P. Li, S. Das Sarma, and R. J. Joynt, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13713 (1992).
- [4] *The Many-Body Problem*, edited by Daniel C. Mattis (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993); *Bosonization*, edited by Michael Stone (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [5] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii and A. I. Larkin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 411 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP, **38**,202 (1974)].
- [6] M. Bonitz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3788 (1993).
- [7] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1864 (1993).
- [8] E. H. Hwang and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17267 (1994).
- [9] L. Wendler and R. Haupt, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 9031 (1995).
- [10] S. Das Sarma and Wu-yan Lai, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 1401 (1985).
- [11] Ben Yu-Kuang Hu and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 5469 (1993).
- [12] For a general review of electronic properties of 2D systems see T. Ando, A. B. Fowler, and F. Stern, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 437 (1982).

- [13] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
- [14] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Dover, New York, 1975).
- [15] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. B **1**, 2362 (1970).
- [16] P. F. Maldague, Surf. Sci. **73**, 296 (1978).
- [17] G. D. Mahan, *Many Particle Physics*, 2nd ed. (Plenum, New York, 1990); D. Pines and P. Nozieres, *The Theory of Quantum Liquids* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1989).
- [18] G. F. Giuliani and J. J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. B **29**, 2321 (1984).
- [19] N. A. Krall and A. W. Trivelpiece, *Principles of Plasma Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973).
- [20] J. K. Jain and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 5949 (1987); Surf. Sci. **196**, 466 (1988).
- [21] J. Hubbard, Proc. R. Soc. London A **243**, 336 (1957).
- [22] M. Jonson, J. Phys. **C9**, 3055 (1976).
- [23] R. E. Peierls, *Quantum Theory of Solids* (Oxford, London, 1955). See also *Highly Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, edited by J. T. Devreese, R. P. Evrard, and V. E. van Doren (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- [24] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492(1958).
- [25] J. R. Senna and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 4552 (1993).
- [26] D. Z. Liu and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 13821 (1995).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. (a) The bare bubble diagram, (b) the dressed Green's function, and (c) a typical ladder diagram due to impurity scattering.

FIG. 2. Plasma dispersion in different dimensions. Here the density parameter $r_s = 1.4$ is used for all dimensions. The single particle electron-hole continuum excitation regime in 1D is indicated by the solid curves.

FIG. 3. The zeros of $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega - i\alpha)]$ and $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega - i\alpha)]$ in the complex $\omega - \alpha$ plane, where α indicates the damping of the plasmon mode, for $q = 0.3k_F$. Point P corresponds to the location of the plasmon: $\text{Re}[\epsilon] = \text{Im}[\epsilon] = 0$. (a) Clean system with the impurity scattering rate $\gamma = 0$. (b) Dirty system with impurity scattering rate $\gamma = 0.1E_F$. Note that P lies on the $\alpha = 0$ line in (a), but is shifted to finite α in (b).

FIG. 4. 1D plasmon dispersion is shown for various impurity scattering rates γ as given in the figure. Here $\omega > 0$ gives the dispersion of the mode and $\omega < 0$ the damping of the mode. The overdamping of the plasmon for $q < q_c$ is clearly seen. Parameters are $a = 100\text{\AA}$ and $r_s = 1.4$ which corresponds to the 1D density $n = 0.56 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for GaAs.

FIG. 5. Plasmon dispersion and damping in (a) 2D and (b) 3D ($r_s = 1.4$ in both).

FIG. 6. (a) 1D plasmon dispersion and damping without any impurity scattering for various temperatures as shown. (b) 1D plasmon dispersion and damping at a finite temperature $T = 0.5E_F$ and for various impurity scattering rates γ as shown ($r_s = 1.4$).

FIG. 7. $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ in 1D as a function of frequency ω for different wave vectors q . The δ -function peaks are the plasmon modes with their spectral weights written above the peaks. The strength of the electron-hole continuum (the broad incoherent bump in each figure) has been enhanced by a factor ten for visual clarity.

FIG. 8. Calculated weights $W(q)$ of the plasmon mode in different dimensions: (a) 1D, (b) 2D, and (c) 3D.

FIG. 9. Shows for a fixed wave vector $q = 0.2k_F$: (a) $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; (b) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; and (c) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ as a function of frequency at $T = 0$ with various impurity scattering rates γ . In (c) the electron-hole pair continuum for $\gamma = 0$ (solid line) has been enhanced by a factor 10 for the sake of clarity. The vertical arrow in (c) denotes the plasmon δ -function peak.

FIG. 10. Shows for a fixed wave vector $q = 0.2k_F$ the calculated (a) $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; (b) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; and (c) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ as a function of frequency ω at a finite temperature $T = 0.5E_F$ with various impurity scattering rates γ as shown.

FIG. 11. Shows for a fixed wave vector $q = 0.2k_F$ the calculated (a) $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; (b) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; and (c) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ as a function of frequency ω without any impurity scattering ($\gamma = 0$) for various temperatures as shown.

FIG. 12. Shows for a wave vector $q = 0.2k_F$ the calculated (a) $\text{Re}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; (b) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)]$; and (c) $\text{Im}[\epsilon(q, \omega)^{-1}]$ as a function of frequency ω with an impurity scattering rate $\gamma = 0.1E_F$ for various temperatures as shown.

FIG. 13. Collective plasmon dispersion within the Hubbard approximation (dashed lines) and within RPA (solid lines) for different dimensions: (a) 1D; (b) 2D; and (c) 3D. Dotted lines indicate the boundaries of the electron-hole pair continua.













































